IN The District Court of The United States District of Alabama For Middle District P.O. Box 711 Montgomery , Al. 3661,0711

RECEIVED

263 DEC 28 A 10: 11

1) RANGEU HAMPTON # 226420 Plaintiff, etal

2) Similar Situated

3) All Named Defendants, etal

3) All Named Detendants, etal Simiular Situated, Exison HEAlth Care Service, etal

DEFENDANTS

Civil Action No. 2:06-CV-400MHT

Knowledge, Fresant flag, Rule 56(e)

5) WHETHER The Named Defendants, Intended, ...
to Violate, the Plaintiff Civil Rights, IN6) BEENing Deliberate Indifferent, to Plaintiff
Secured Civil Rights, 18 U.S.C. 242, By Medical—
7) Mal Pradice, 429 U.S. At, 106?

8) WHETHER the Plaintiff, The Flaintiff, who fallocit, Iv. Prison Commissioner, Chow Hall , showned, he Plaintiff, Needed Legal

-1-

medical Help, when the EXE wittiness 9) Who worked for AlaBAMA Dept, of Corrections, Stould Howe towwed, failures to Deliber Same, Plaintiff Innate to (PMS) Must Have Intended to 10) Vidato Secured Civil Lights At 398 U.S. 2t, 144, which Admits the Defendants Actions, was Done Under the Cole of State LAW, 28 USCA 1343 (2) which gave Rise, 28 USC 2671 Etseg under, 42 U.S. 1983 (1/2)(3)(4)(5) At 11) Finkerton, 328 U.S. 640 (1946) where Ench and Ever Defendants com be ... Held Libril, ENRANTE Are IN Luther of Depricing the Flaintiff of this Secured Civil Lights, where bz) Funitive Eurishment: Is Froper At 1) where, Named Defendants must Howe Intended, to Cause the Plant of. 13) Eight Amendments "PAin and Suffering"

2) Where Blaintiff fail out In the Prison (CHOW HALL) But Name defendants Just Stood their, As Not, Concerred "A.4" Violate, 429 U.S. At, 105, and At 106. Of federal of Civil Procedure, Rule 56(8)

M) Court ONE Two: and, Similar Situated Claims Admit that All Name defendants did deliberate Teangress (the Bright Line) At HARlow Ns- Litzerald Supra, where Il defendant 8 Hould Have theward? 15) they Violate Secured Civil Rights? (1) When (2) they acted In BAN faith MANNER AND 3) when they, west (upon Land and) Territory) of the Elviter states [to] (ause (4) 42 U.SC. 1983 to Kise, At (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) Libility FOR \$1983 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) Finkerton V. rewited States, 328 U.S. 640 (1946) * Where #11/1985, Defendants Who Hiso Conspired to Violate the Plaintoff, Secretar Civil Lights At, 403 U.S. At 88, At Colorable Claims Ht * Biven V. SIX UNKNEWED Agents 403 U.S. At 388, Cites [#] Colorele Claim, where the Recovery of Civil de lines, Is Proper Deiven and At Smith Vs whole 461 48-41, 75

Smith Vs whole 461 U.S. Ht, 75

And Rend with Frederal Ryles Civil

Erocedur, Free 57", [of] 28 USC 221 and
9202, of (flee) Rule 36 (2) and 39 (2)

Notification, For Rule 23 (2) By Demand for
Civil Trim, -3-

where Fraintiff, ENVoked, FREP Rule 38, 2rd 39(2) Fretziningte, Simillar. Situated defendants, In Common Neeleus of operaction facts, 415 U.S. At 528 17) HAGON V. LEVING 28 U.SC. 1343 (2) Where the defendant this Claimed that They Are Not (AWARE of Medient) the Plaintiff medical Condition ... Now, Rises to Eighth Amendents 111 S. Ct. 2326, 2327, where All Veferelt to, By Admissions for Ryle 36, Must Have "Acted IN 18) BAY faith MANNER? 19) gave Rise to Valid Claim, under 42 U-SC. 1983 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) [18 USC. 242, La Fredisians, Paralleto 42] USC [1983; Of, A.d. A] the American disobility Act of 1990 Violated. Puntile DAMAges (21)

the Flaintiff Now Seek, the Recovery of Civil Dollars, 403 US At 388 At, from Rule 57, of 28 USC 2201 and 2202.

The Flaintiff, Rawall Hampton # 226420

the Flaintiff, Rawall Hampton # 226420

did there By, For ward this

8 pecial Report, And Affidavit upon

ANI defendants, At P.O. Box 711

Up the office of the Clerk

united states Court House P.O. Box 711, Maddle District Vivited States Federal Criet House, Mont gamen, Alasand 36101,0711

By Elacing Same, In United States MAIL Estage Exid Done This, 26th Day December, 2006

Clerk of Reads | Sullock Correct facility

Flag 23, (2)

Winn Springs. ALABAMA